	COPY			
1	Jeffrey S. Kaufman, Esq. APR 2 9 2014			
2	JEFFREY S. KAUFMAN, LTD.			
3	5725 N. Scottsdale Road, Ste. 190 Scottsdale, AZ 85250			
4	(480) 994-8000 Der No. 002260			
5	Bar No. 003269 Attorney for Plaintiff			
6	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA			
7	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA			
8	ULTRA HEALTH, LLC, an Arizona limited) Case No.: CV2014-053115			
9	liability company,			
10	Plaintiff, (Breach of Contract, Breach of Eiduciory Duty, Interference With			
11	v. Fiduciary Duty, Interference With Contract, Conversion, Unjust			
12) Enrichment and Accounting)			
13	HEALING HEALTHCARE 3, INC., an Arizona)			
14	non-profit corporation; RAKESH PAHWA and) GEETA PAHWA, husband and wife; DAVID)			
15	SANCHEZ and KATHY SANCHEZ, husband)			
16	and wife; SCOTT ARMSTRONG, an unmarried) person, and DOES I-X,			
17				
18	Defendants.)			
19	COMES NOW Plaintiff Ultra Health, LLC, by and through its attorney, undersigned,			
20	and for the Complaint against Defendants herein, alleges as follows:			
21	GENERAL ALLEGATIONS			
22				
23	1. Plaintiff is an Arizona limited liability company, licensed to transact business			
24	and transacting business in Maricopa and Pinal County, Arizona.			
25 26	2. Defendant Healing Healthcare 3, Inc. is an Arizona corporation, licensed to			
26 27	transact business and transacting business in Maricopa and Pinal County, Arizona.			
27				
28				
	1			

JEFFREY S. KAUFMAN, ESQ. 5725 N. Scottsdale Rd., Ste. 190 Scottsdale, AZ 85250

•

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

20

21

22

23

24

Defendants Rakesh Pahwa ("Rocky") and Geeta Pahwa are, upon information 3. 1 and belief, lawfully married husband and wife, residing in Maricopa County, Arizona. 2

Defendants David Sanchez and Kathy Sanchez are, upon information and 4. belief, lawfully married husband and wife, residing in Maricopa County, Arizona. All actions and omissions of married Defendants are binding upon their material communities.

Upon information and belief, Defendant Scott Armstrong is an unmarried 5. person, residing in Maricopa County, Arizona.

Does I-X are fictitious names used to denote the names of persons or entities 6. whose acts and/or omissions caused or contributed to Plaintiff's damages. Plaintiff hereby requests leave of the Court to amend its Complaint to reflect the true names and statuses of Does I-X, when the same have been ascertained.

Defendants caused acts and events to occur in Maricopa County and Pinal 7. 15 16 County, Arizona.

17 Plaintiff's damages exceed the minimum jurisdictional requirements of this 8. 18 Court. 19

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Breach of Contract Against HH3)

Plaintiff hereby realleges paragraphs 1-8 of its General Allegations, as though 9. the same were fully set forth herein.

On or about December 15, 2013, Plaintiff and Defendant Healing Healthcare 3, 25 10. 26 Inc. ("HH3") entered into a certain Memorandum of Understanding, which is herein after 27 sometimes referred to as the "Agreement" of the parties. A copy of the Agreement is 28

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

21

23

24

25

attached hereto, marked for identification as Exhibit A, and is incorporated herein by this 1 2 reference.

3 The purpose and effect of the Memorandum of Understanding was to establish 11. 4 a joint venture to develop, build, own and operate a medical marijuana dispensary, pursuant to the Arizona Medical Marijuana Act ("AMMA"), in the Florence, Arizona CHAA. Plaintiff has abided by the terms and conditions of the Agreement.

8 Defendant HH3 breached the terms and conditions of the Agreement in many 12. ways. Defendant HH3's breaches of the Agreement include, but are not limited to: (1) failing to pay one-half of all costs of the Project on a timely and/or equal basis; (2) Failing to add Plaintiff or one of Plaintiff's agents as an officer of HH3 and to maintain said position; and (3) failing to take reasonable and/or necessary actions in order to secure necessary approvals from the City of Florence, Arizona and/or other sources, in order to develop, build, own and operate a medical marijuana dispensary in the CHAA at issue.

17 13. Plaintiff further alleges, upon information and belief, that Defendant HH3 18 breached paragraph 6 of the Agreement by, among other things, initiating, soliciting, 19 20 encouraging, directly or indirectly, or accepting an offer or proposal regarding the possibility of developing a dispensary location and/or owning and operating a medical marijuana 22 dispensary in the Florence CHAA from or with another Defendant(s), third parties and/or Does I-X and/or by violating the confidentiality provisions of the Agreement between Plaintiff and HH3.

26 14. Plaintiff further alleges, upon information and belief, that Defendant HH3 has 27 entered into an agreement with another Defendant(s), third party or third parties and/or Does 28

1 2 provide for the participation of Plaintiff therein, all in violation of paragraph 7 of the 3 Agreement. 4 15. Plaintiff is entitled to collect its reasonable attorney fees from Defendants, if 5 this matter is contested, pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-341.01. 6 7 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands relief against HH3, as follows: 8 For Plaintiff's actual damages, in an amount in excess of the minimum 1. 9 jurisdictional requirements of this Court, in an amount to be proved a trial; 10 11 2. For Plaintiff's taxable court costs; 12 For Plaintiff's reasonable attorney fees, if this matter is contested; 3. 13 For interest on the above at the highest rate authorized by law, from the date of 4. 14 judgment until paid in full; and 15 16 5. For such other and further relief as is just and proper. 17 SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF 18 (Breach of Fiduciary Duty Against All Defendants Except Sanchez and Armstrong) 19 20 16. Plaintiff hereby realleges paragraphs 1-8 of its General Allegations, and 21 paragraphs 9-15 of its First Claim for Relief, as though the same were fully set forth herein. 22 17. By virtue of their positions as shareholders, directors, and/or officers of HH3, 23 Defendants Pahwa and Does I-X owed Plaintiff a fiduciary duty to conduct themselves and 24 25 to conduct the business of HH3 in a manner calculated to honor its obligations to Plaintiff, 26 pursuant to the Agreement. Defendants Rocky Pahwa, HH3 and Does I-X have and had a 27 fiduciary duty to refrain from appropriating assets, funds or opportunities which belong or 28

I-X regarding the development of the dispensary at issue; and that said agreement does not

belonged to Plaintiff and/or to the joint venture between Plaintiff and HH3 for their own
 private benefit and/or gain.

3 18. The course of conduct of Defendants, beginning in February, 2014, if not 4 before that time, evidences a disregard of the fiduciary duty of Defendants to Plaintiff by: (1) 5 managing HH3 in a way which is reasonably calculated to expel Plaintiff from the joint 6 7 venture; (2) failure to invest funds in the joint venture and/or to reimburse Plaintiff for 8 HH3's share of the joint venture expenses; (3) failing to maximize the chances of obtaining 9 approval from the City of Florence, Arizona for HH3 to open a medical marijuana 10 11 dispensary at or near River Bottom Grill, 2501 N. Pinal Parkway, Florence Arizona; (4) 12 conspiring with each other and/or with third parties in an attempt to exclude Plaintiff from 13 participating in the Florence, Arizona CHAA dispensary; (5) upon information and belief, 14 failing to hold necessary corporate meetings; and (6) failure and refusal to disclose 15 16 information to Plaintiff about HH3 and about actions proposed for future consideration 17 and/or action by HH3.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands relief against all Defendants, individually and
jointly and severally, as follows:

1. For Plaintiff's actual damages, in an amount in excess of the minimum jurisdictional requirements of this Court, in an amount to be proved a trial;

2. For Plaintiff's taxable court costs;

3. For interest on the above at the highest rate authorized by law, from the date of judgment until paid in full; and

27 28

4.

18

21

22

23

24

25

26

For such other and further relief as is just and proper.

1			
1 2	THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF		
3			
4	(Interference with Contract Against Defendants Rocky Pahwa, Armstrong,		
5	Sanchez and Does I-X)		
6	19. Plaintiff hereby realleges paragraphs 1-8 of its General Allegations, paragraphs		
7	9-15 of its First Claim for Relief, and paragraphs 16-18 of its Second Claim for Relief, as		
8 9	though the same were fully set forth herein.		
9 10	20. Plaintiff had an Agreement or business expectancy with Defendant HH3.		
11	21. Defendants Rocky Pahwa, Scott Armstrong, Sanchez and/or Does I-X knew		
12	about the Agreement and/or business expectancy of Plaintiff.		
13 14	22. Defendant Rocky Pahwa, Defendant Scott Armstrong, Defendants Sanchez		
14	The second with Dispetifier contractual relationship or business		
16			
17	termination of the Agreement or expectancy to be realized by Plaintin.		
18 19	23. Defendants Rocky Pahwa's, Armstrong's, Sanchez' and Does I-X's conduct		
20			
21	24. Plaintiff suffered monetary damage and loss of its business reputation, as the		
22 23	result of the breach or termination of the Agreement or the business expectancy to be		
24	realized by Plaintiff with HH3.		
25	WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demands relief against Defendants Rocky Pahwa,		
26	Armstrong, Sanchez and Does I-X, individually, jointly and severally, as follows:		
27	ATTINSTOLIES, Salienez and Does 1-23, individually, Johney and Severally, as follows:		
28			

1	1 1. For damages including but not limited to, the net profit and/or benefit that			
2	Plaintiff would have received had the Agreement or business expectancy been realized.			
3	2. For damage to Plaintiff's reputation.			
4	3 For Plaintiff's taxable court costs incurred herein			
6				
7	judgment until paid in full; and			
8 9	5. For such other and further relief as is just and proper.			
9 10	FOUDTH OF AIM FOD DELIEF			
11				
12	25. Plaintiff hereby realleges paragraphs 1-8 of its General Allegations, paragraphs			
13 14	9-15 of its First Claim for Relief, paragraphs 16-18 of its Second Claim for Relief, and			
15	paragraphs 19-24 of its Third Claim for Relief as though the same were fully set forth herein.			
16	26. Plaintiff alleges, upon information and belief, that one or more Defendants			
17	[HH3, Rocky Pahwa and/or Does I-X exercised unlawful and unauthorized wrongful control]			
18 19	and possession of monies and information that Plaintiff and/or Plaintiff's agents transmitted			
20	Defendant HH3 and/or to them, which were transmitted for specific or otherwise			
21	confidential purposes.			
22				
23	27. The above named Defendants' exercise of unlawfully and unauthorized			
24	wrongful control and possession of the monies and/or information that Plaintiff and/or its			
25	agents transmitted Defendant HH# or to them was in denial of, and inconsistent with the			

26
27
rights of Plaintiff.

28

JEFFREY S. KAUFMAN, ESQ. 5725 N. Scottsdale Rd., Ste. 190 Scottsdale, AZ 85250

Said Defendants converted Plaintiff's monies and/or information to the 28. 1 2 detriment of and damage to Plaintiff.

As the result of the above named Defendants' conversion, Plaintiff has been 29. damaged in an amount to be proven at trial, but in an amount not less than the minimum jurisdictional requirements of this Court.

conduct was gross, wanton, willful and malicious, and 30. Defendants' accordingly, they are individually and jointly and severally liable to Plaintiff for punitive damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands relief against Defendants individually and jointly 11 12 and severally, as follows:

13 For its actual damages, in an amount to be proved at trial in an amount in 1. 14 excess of the minimum jurisdictional requirement of this Court, the exact amount of which 15 16 to be determined by the trier of fact at the time of trial.

> For exemplary and punitive damages, 2.

For Plaintiff's taxable court costs incurred herein. 3.

For interest on the above at the highest rate authorized by law, from the date of 20 4. judgment until paid in full; and

For such other and further relief as is just and proper.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Quantum Meruit/Unjust Enrichment Against Defendants HH3, Pahwa and Does I-X) 25 26 Plaintiffs hereby realleges paragraphs 1-8 of its General Allegations, 31. 27 paragraphs 9-15 of its First Claim for Relief, paragraphs 16-18 of its Second Claim for 28

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

5.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

4.

1 Relief, paragraphs 19-24 of its Third Claim for Relief, and paragraphs 25-30 of its Fourth
2 Claim for Relief as though the same were fully set forth herein.

32. Defendants HH3, Pahwa and Does I-X have been benefitted and enriched, and continue to benefit and be enriched from the funds, advice and/or actions they received from Plaintiff and/or Plaintiff's agents.

33. If said Defendants are permitted to retain all benefits of the funds and advice they received and/or from the actions taken by Plaintiff to benefit said Defendants, Defendants will be unjustly enriched at the expense of Plaintiff which has suffered a loss of income and/or assets as the direct result of said Defendants' enrichment.

34. There is no justification for this enrichment and loss of income and/or assets and Defendants should not be permitted to benefit from the funds, advice and actions of Plaintiff and Plaintiff's agents, without compensating Plaintiff.

35. Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages from said Defendants in a reasonable amount to be determined at the time of trial and any continuing damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests judgment against Defendants HH3, Pahwa and Does I-X, individually and jointly and severally, as follows:

1. For Plaintiff's actual damages, in an amount in excess of the minimum jurisdictional requirements of this Court, in an amount to be proved a trial

2. For Plaintiff's taxable court costs;

3. For interest on the above at the highest rate authorized by law, from the date of judgment until paid in full; and

For such other and further relief as is just and proper.

1	SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF						
2	(Accounting)						
3	3 3 36. Plaintiff hereby realleges paragraphs 1-8 of its General Allegations, paragr						
4	9-15 of its	First Claim for Relief, paragraphs 16-18 of its Second Claim for Relief,					
5							
6	paragraphs	paragraphs 19-24 of its Third Claim for Relief, paragraphs 25-30 of its Fourth Claim for					
7 8	Relief and p	Relief and paragraphs 31-35 of its Fifth Claim for Relief as though the same were fully set					
8 9	forth herein.						
10	37.	Plaintiff is entitled to an accounting of all of the financial affairs of Defendant					
11	HH3, with	regard to the Agreement and with regard to all activities taken, directly or					
12	indirectly, b	indirectly, by all Defendants, with regard to the CHAA at issue.					
13 14	38.	Plaintiff demand for an accounting arises out of the Agreement and/or their					
15	business rela	business relationship with Defendant HH3.					
16	39.	Plaintiff is entitled to collect its reasonable attorney fees and accruing costs, if					
17	this cause of	action is contested, and its accrued and accruing costs.					
18							
19	WHE	REFORE, Plaintiff demands relief against all Defendants, jointly and severally,					
20	as follows:						
21	1.	An accounting of all financial affairs and actions of HH3;					
22 23	2.	For Plaintiff's taxable court costs;					
23	3.	For Plaintiff's reasonable attorney fees, if this matter is contested;					
25	4.						
26		For interest on the above at the highest rate authorized by law, from the date of					
27	judgment unt	udgment until paid in full; and					
28	5.	For such other and further relief as is just and proper.					

JEFFREY S. KAUFMAN, ESQ. 5725 N. Scottsdale Rd., Ste. 190 Scottsdale, AZ 85250

•

Dated this $\frac{15}{15}$ day of April, 2014. JEFFREY S. KAUFMAN, LTD. Da Q. Jeffrey S. Kaufman, Esq. 5725-N. Scottsdale Road, Ste. 190 Scottsdale, AZ 85250 Attorney for Plaintiff

JEFFREY S. KAUFMAN, ESQ. 5725 N. Scottsdale Rd., Ste. 190 Scottsdale, AZ 85250

1	VERIFICATION		
2 3 4	STATE OF ARIZONA) Ss. County of Maricopa)		
5	Duke Rodriguez being first duly sworn upon his oath, deposes and states:		
6	1. That he is a Member of Plaintiff in the above entitled action;		
7	2. That he has read the foregoing Complaint and knows the contents thereof, and		
8 9	that the matters and things contained therein are true and correct to the best of his		
10	knowledge, information and belief.		
11	Duke Rodriguez		
12			
13 14	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this <u>25</u> ^w day of April, 2014, by Duke Rodriguez.		
15	Valera Knight		
16	Notary Public () My Commission Expires:		
17	My Commission Expires: May 2, 2017		
18	0		
19	Valera Knight Notary Public - Arizona		
20 21	Maricopa County My Commission Expires May 2, 2017		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27 28			
20			
	12		

		COPY				
		APR 2 9 2014				
1	Jeffrey S. Kaufman, Esq.	MICHAEL K. JEANES, CLERK				
2	JEFFREY S. KAUFMAN, LTD. 5725 N. Scottsdale Road, Ste. 190	V. VASQUEZ DEPUTY CLERK				
3	Scottsdale, AZ 85250					
4	(480) 994-8000 Bar No. 003269					
5						
6	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF T	HE STATE OF ARIZONA				
7	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF T IN AND FOR THE COUNT					
8 9	ULTRA HEALTH, LLC, an Arizona limited)					
9 10	liability company,	CERTIFICATE REGARDING				
10	Plaintiff,	COMPULSORY ARBITRATION				
12) vs.	CV2014-053115				
13)					
14	HEALING HEALTHCARE 3, INC., an Arizona) non-profit corporation; RAKESH PAHWA and)					
15	GEETA PAHWA, husband and wife; DAVID) SANCHEZ and KATHY SANCHEZ, husband)					
16	and wife SCOTT ARMSTRONG, an unmarried)					
17	person, and DOES I-X,					
18	Defendants) The undersigned certifies that this action) is not subject to compulsory arbitration				
19						
20	pursuant to the Uniform Rules of Procedure for					
21 22	reason that the amount of money in controversy	is greater than \$50,000.00 and because				
22	Plaintiff is seeking equitable relief.					
23	Dated this $\underline{28^{+}}$ day of April, 2014.					
25	JEFFREY S. KALFMAN, LTD.					
26		Char				
27	Ву	Jeffrey S. Kaufman, Esq.				
28	5725 N. Scottsdale Road Ste 190					
	1					